arXiv:1507.00371v1 [math.SP] 16 Jun 2015

# Inverse Problems for Systems of Variable Order Differential Equations with Singularities on Spatial Networks.

**V. Yurko**

**Abstract.** Variable order differential equations with non-integrable singularities are considered on spatial networks. Properties of the spectrum are established, and the solution of the inverse spectral problem is obtained.

Key words: spatial networks; differential systems; variable order; inverse problems
AMS Classification: 34A55 34B45 47E05

**1.** Consider a compact star-type graph $T$ in $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ with the set of vertices $V=\{v_0,\dots,v_p\}$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E}=\{e_1,\dots,e_p\}$, where $v_1,\dots,v_p$ are the boundary vertices, $v_0$ is the internal vertex, and $e_j=[v_j,v_0]$, $e_1\cap\ldots\cap e_p=\{v_0\}$. Let $l_j$ be the length of the edge $e_j$. For each edge $e_j\in\mathcal{E}$ we introduce the parameter $x_j\in[0,l_j]$ such that $x_j=0$ corresponds to the boundary vertices $v_1,\dots,v_p$. A function $Y$ on $T$ may be represented as $Y=\{y_j\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$, where the function $y_j(x_j)$ is defined on the edge $e_j$.

Let $n_j$, $j=\overline{1,p}$, be positive integers such that $n_1\ge n_2\ge\ldots\ge n_p\ge 2$. Consider the differential equations on $T$:

$$y_j^{(n_j)}(x_j)+\sum_{\mu=0}^{n_j-2}\Big(\frac{\nu_{\mu j}}{x_j^{n_j-\mu}}+q_{\mu j}(x_j)\Big)y_j^{(\mu)}(x_j)=\lambda y_j(x_j),\quad x_j\in(0,l_j),\quad j=\overline{1,p},\tag{1}$$

where $\lambda$ is the spectral parameter, $q_{\mu j}(x_j)$ are complex-valued integrable functions. We call $q_j=\{q_{\mu j}\}_{\mu=\overline{0,n_j-2}}$ the potential on the edge $e_j$, and we call $q=\{q_j\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$ the potential on the graph $T$. In this paper we study inverse spectral problems for system (1). We provide a procedure for constructing the solution of the inverse problem and prove its uniqueness.

**2.** Let us construct special fundamental systems of solutions for higher order differential operators with regular singularities. Consider the differential equation

$$\ell y:=y^{(n)}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}\Big(\frac{\nu_j}{x^{n-j}}+q_j(x)\Big)y^{(j)}=\lambda y,\quad x>0\tag{2}$$

on the half-line. Let $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n$ be the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$\Delta(\mu)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\nu_j\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(\mu-k),\quad \nu_n=1,\ \nu_{n-1}=0.$$

It is clear that $\mu_1+\ldots+\mu_n=n(n-2)/2$. For definiteness, we assume that $\mu_k-\mu_j\ne sn$ $(s=0,\pm1,\pm2,\ldots)$; $Re\,\mu_1<\ldots<Re\,\mu_n$, $\mu_k\ne 0,1,2,\dots,n-3$ (the other cases require minor modifications). Let $\theta_n=n-1-Re(\mu_n-\mu_1)$, $q_{0j}(x)=q_j(x)$ for $x\ge1$, and $q_{0j}(x)=q_j(x)x^{min(\theta_n-j,0)}$ for $x\le1$ and assume that $q_{0j}(x)\in L(0,\infty)$, $j=\overline{0,n-2}$.

First of all, we consider the following differential equation without spectral parameter:

$$\ell_0 y:=y^{(n)}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}\frac{\nu_j}{x^{n-j}}\,y^{(j)}=y.\tag{3}$$

Let $x=r\exp(i\varphi)$, $r>0$, $\varphi\in(-\pi,\pi]$, $x^\mu=\exp(\mu(\ln r+i\varphi))$ and $\Pi_-$ be the $x$-plane with the cut along the semi-axis $x\le0$. Take numbers $c_{j0}$, $j=\overline{1,n}$ from the condition

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}c_{j0}=(\det[\mu_j^{\nu-1}]_{j,\nu=\overline{1,n}})^{-1}.$$

Then the functions

$$C_j(x)=x^{\mu_j}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_{jk}x^{nk},\quad c_{jk}(x)=c_{j0}\Big(\prod_{s=1}^{k}\Delta(\mu_j+sn)\Big)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

are solutions of (3), and $\det[C_j^{(\nu-1)}(x)]_{j,\nu=\overline{1,n}}\equiv 1$. Moreover, the functions $C_j(x)$ are analytic in $\Pi_-$ . Denote

$$\varepsilon_k=\exp\Big(\frac{2\pi i(k-1)}{n}\Big),\quad S_\nu=\Big\{x:\arg x\in\Big(\frac{\nu\pi}{n},\frac{(\nu+1)\pi}{n}\Big)\Big\},$$

$$S_1^*=\bar S_{n-1},\quad S_k^*=\bar S_{n-2k+1}\cup\bar S_{n-2k+2},\ k=\overline{2,n};$$

$$Q_k=\Big\{x:\arg x\in\Big[\max\Big(-\pi,(-2k+2)\frac{\pi}{n}\Big),\min\Big(\pi,(2n-2k+2)\frac{\pi}{n}\Big)\Big]\Big\},\quad k=\overline{1,n}.$$

For $x\in S_k^*$ equation (3) has the solutions $e_k(x)$, $k=\overline{1,n}$ of the form

$$e_k^{(\nu-1)}(x)=\varepsilon_k^{\nu}\exp(\varepsilon_k x)z_{k\nu}(x),\ \nu=\overline{0,n-1},$$

where $z_{k\nu}(x)$ are solutions of the integral equations

$$z_{k\nu}(x)=1+\frac{1}{n}\int_x^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\varepsilon_j^{\nu+1}\varepsilon_k^{-\nu}\exp((\varepsilon_k-\varepsilon_j)(t-x))\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}\nu_m\varepsilon_k^{m}t^{m-n}z_{km}(t)\Big)\,dt$$

(here $\arg t=\arg x$, $|t|>|x|$ ). Using the fundamental system of solutions $\{C_j(x)\}_{j=\overline{1,n}}$ one can write

$$e_k(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{kj}^0C_j(x). \tag{5}$$

In particular this gives the analytic continuation for $e_k(x)$ on $\Pi_-$.

**Lemma 1.** *The functions* $\{e_k(x)\}_{k=\overline{1,n}}$, $x\in\Pi_-$ *form a fundamental system of solutions of equation (3), and*

$$\det[e_k^{(\nu-1)}(x)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}}=\det[\varepsilon_k^{\nu-1}]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}}.$$

*The asymptotics*

$$e_k^{(\nu-1)}(x)=\varepsilon_k^{\nu-1}\exp(\varepsilon_k x)(1+O(x^{-1})),\quad |x|\to\infty,\ x\in Q_k. \tag{6}$$

*is valid.*

We observe that the asymptotics (6) holds in the sectors $Q_k$ which are wider that the sectors $S_k^*$ . Next we obtain connections between the Stokes multipliers $\beta_{kj}^0$.

**Lemma 2.** *The following relations hold*

$$\beta_{kj}^0=\beta_{1j}^0\varepsilon_k^{\mu_j},\quad j,k=\overline{1,n}, \tag{7}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{1j}^0=(\det[\varepsilon_k^{\mu_j}]_{k,j=\overline{1,n}})^{-1}\det[\varepsilon_k^{j-1}]_{k,j=\overline{1,n}}\neq 0. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, for $\arg x\in(-\pi,\pi-\frac{2\pi s}{n})$ we have, by virtue of (4)-(5),

$$e_k(\varepsilon^s x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{kj}^0(\varepsilon^s)^{\mu_j}C_j(x). \tag{9}$$

It is easily seen from construction of the functions $e_k(x)$ that $e_1(\varepsilon^s x) = e_{s+1}(x)$. Substituting (5) in this equality and comparing the corresponding coefficients, we obtain (7). After that (8) becomes obvious. □

Now we consider the differential equation

$$\ell_0 y = \lambda y = \rho^n y, \quad x > 0. \tag{10}$$

It is evident that if $y(x)$ is a solution of (3), then $y(\rho x)$ satifies (10). Define $C_j(x,\lambda)$ by

$$C_j(x,\lambda) = \rho^{-\mu_j} C_j(\rho x) = x^{\mu_j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} (\rho x)^{nk}.$$

The functions $C_j(x,\lambda)$ are entire in $\lambda$, and $\det[C_j^{(\nu-1)}(x,\lambda)]_{j,\nu=\overline{1,n}} \equiv 1$. From Lemmas 1 and 2 we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *In each sector* $S_{k_0} = \{\rho : \ \arg\rho \in (\frac{k_0\pi}{n}, \frac{(k_0+1)\pi}{n})\}$ *equation (10) has a fundamental system of solutions* $B_0 = \{y_k(x,\rho)\}_{k=\overline{1,n}}$ *such that* $y_k(x,\rho) = y_k(\rho x)$,

$$|y_k^{(\nu)}(x,\rho)(\rho R_k)^{-\nu}\exp(-\rho R_k x) - 1| \le \frac{M_0}{|\rho| x}, \ \rho \in \bar S_{k_0}, \ |\rho| x \ge 1, \ \nu = \overline{0, n-1}, \tag{11}$$

$$\det[y_k^{(\nu-1)}(x,\rho)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}} \equiv \rho^{n(n-1)/2}\Omega, \quad \Omega := \det[R_k^{\nu-1}]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}} \ne 0, \tag{12}$$

$$y_k(x,\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{kj}^0 \rho^{\mu_j} C_j(x,\lambda), \quad b_{kj}^0 = \beta_j^0 R_k^{\mu_j}, \ \beta_j^0 \ne 0, \tag{13}$$

*where the constant* $M_0$ *depens only on* $\nu_j$.

The functions $y_k(x,\rho)$ are analogues of the Hankel functions for the Bessel equation. Denote

$$C_j^*(x,\lambda) = \det[C_k^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)]_{\nu=\overline{0,n-2};\, k=\overline{1,n}\setminus n-j+1},$$

$$y_j^*(x,\rho) = (-1)^{n-j}\Big(\rho^{(n-1)(n-2)/2}\Omega\Big)^{-1} \det[y_k^{(\nu)}(x,\rho)]_{\nu=\overline{0,n-2};\, k=\overline{1,n}\setminus j},$$

$$F_{k\nu}(\rho x) = \begin{cases} R_k^\nu \exp(\rho R_k x), & |\rho| x > 1, \\ (\rho x)^{\mu_1 - \nu}, & |\rho| x \le 1, \end{cases} \qquad F_k^*(\rho x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\rho R_k x), & |\rho| x > 1, \\ (\rho x)^{n-1-\mu_n}, & |\rho| x \le 1, \end{cases}$$

$$U_{k\nu}^0(x,\rho) = y_k^{(\nu)}(x,\rho)(\rho^\nu F_{k\nu}(\rho x))^{-1}, \quad U_k^{0,*}(x,\rho) = y_k^*(x,\rho)(F_k^*(\rho x))^{-1},$$

$$g(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} C_j(x,\lambda) C_{n-j+1}^*(t,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j(x,\rho) y_j^*(t,\rho).$$

The function $g(x,t,\lambda)$ is the Green's function of the Cauchy problem $\ell_0 y - \lambda y = f(x)$, $y^{(\nu)}(0) = 0$, $\nu = \overline{0, n-1}$. Using (11)-(13), we obtain

$$|U_{k\nu}^0(x,\rho)| \le M_1, \quad |U_k^{0,*}(x,\rho)| \le M_1, \quad x \ge 0, \quad \rho \in \bar S_{k_0}, \tag{14}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &|C_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda| \le M_2 |x^{\mu_j - \nu}|, \\ &\Big|\frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} g(x,t,\lambda)\Big| \le M_2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x^{\mu_j - \nu} t^{n-1-\mu_j}|, \quad |\rho x| \le C_0, \ t \le x, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{15}$$

where $M_1$ depens on $\nu_j$, and $M_2$ on $\nu_j$ and $C_0$.

Now we are going to construct fundamental systems of solutions of equation (2). Denote

$$J(\rho)=\sum_{m=0}^{n-2} J_m(\rho),$$

$$J_m(\rho)=|\rho|^{Re(\mu_1-\mu_n)}\int_0^{|\rho|^{-1}} t^{\theta_n-m}|q_m(t)|\,dt+|\rho|^{m-n+1}\int_{|\rho|^{-1}}^{\infty}|q_m(t)|\,dt.$$

**Lemma 3.** *The following estimate holds*

$$J(\rho)\le \frac{Q}{|\rho|},\ |\rho|\ge 1,\quad Q:=\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}\int_0^{\infty}|q_{0m}(t)|\,dt.$$

Indeed, if $\theta_m-m\le 0$ , then $Re(\mu_n-\mu_1)\ge n-m-1$, and consequently

$$J_m(\rho)\le |\rho|^{m-n+1}\Big(\int_0^{|\rho|^{-1}} t^{\theta_n-m}|q_m(t)|\,dt+\int_{|\rho|^{-1}}^{\infty}|q_m(t)|\,dt\Big)\le |\rho|^{m-n+1}\int_0^{\infty}|q_{0m}(t)|\,dt.$$

If $\theta_m-m>0$, then

$$J_m(\rho)\le |\rho|^{m-n+1}\int_0^{\infty}|q_m(t)|\,dt\le |\rho|^{m-n+1}\int_0^{\infty}|q_{0m}(t)|\,dt.$$

Hence $J(\rho)\le Q|\rho|^{-1}$, $|\rho|\ge 1$, and Lemma 3 is proved.

We now construct the functions $S_j(x,\lambda)$, $j=\overline{1,n}$ from the system of integral equations

$$S_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)=C_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)-\int_0^x \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial x^\nu}\,g(x,t,\lambda)\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n-2} q_m(t)S_j^{(m)}(t,\lambda)\Big)\,dt,\ \nu=\overline{0,n-1}. \tag{16}$$

By (15), system (16) has a unique solution; moreover the functions $S_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)$ are entire in $\lambda$ for each $x>0$, the functions $\{S_j(x,\lambda)\}_{j=\overline{1,n}}$ form a fundamental system of solutions for equation (2), $\det[S_j^{(\nu-1)}(x,\lambda)\}_{j,\nu=\overline{1,n}}\equiv 1$, and

$$S_j^{(\nu)}(x,\lambda)=O(x^{\mu_j-\nu}),\quad (S_j(x,\lambda)-C_j(x,\lambda))x^{-\mu_j}=o(x^{\mu_n-\mu_1}),\quad x\to 0. \tag{17}$$

Let $S_{k_0,\alpha}=\{\rho:\ \rho\in S_{k_0},\ |\rho|>\alpha\}$, $\rho_0=2M_1Q+1$. For $k=\overline{1,n}$ , $\rho\in\bar S_{k_0,\rho_0}$ we consider the system of integral equations

$$U_{k\nu}(x,\rho)=U^0_{k\nu}(x,\rho)+\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}\int_0^{\infty}A_{k\nu m}(x,t,\rho)U_{km}(t,\rho)\,dt,\ x\ge 0,\ \nu=\overline{0,n-1}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$A_{k\nu m}(x,t,\rho)=\frac{q_m(t)F_{km}(\rho t)}{\rho^{n-1-m}F_{k\nu}(\rho x)}\begin{cases} -\displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{j\nu}(\rho x)U^0_{j\nu}(x,\rho)F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho), & t\le x,\\ \displaystyle\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} F_{j\nu}(\rho x)U^0_{j\nu}(x,\rho)F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho), & t>x.\end{cases}$$

Using (14) and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}\int_0^{\infty}|A_{k\nu m}(x,t,\rho)|\,dt\le M_1J(\rho)\le\frac{M_1Q}{|\rho|}.$$

Consequently, system (18) with $\rho \in \bar{S}_{k_0,\rho_0}$ has a unique solution, and uniformly in $x \geq 0$,

$$U_{k\nu}(x,\rho) - U^0_{k\nu}(x,\rho) = O(\rho^{-1}), \quad \rho \in \bar{S}_{k_0,\rho_0}. \tag{19}$$

**Theorem 2.** *For $x > 0$, $\rho \in \bar{S}_{k_0,\rho_0}$ there exists an fundamental system of solutions of equation (2), $B = \{Y_k(x,\rho)\}_{k=\overline{1,n}}$ of the form*

$$Y_k^{(\nu)}(x,\rho) = \rho^\nu F_{k\nu}(\rho x) U_{k\nu}(x,\rho),$$

*where the functions $U_{k\nu}(x,\rho)$ are solution of (18), and (19) is true.*

*The function $Y_k^{(\nu)}(x,\rho)$ considered for each $x > 0$, are analytic in $\rho \in S_{k_0,\rho_0}$, continuous in $\rho \in \bar{S}_{k_0,\rho_0}$ and $\det[Y_k^{(\nu-1)}(x,\rho)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n}} = \rho^{n(n-1)/2}\Omega(1+O(\rho^{-1}))$ as $|\rho| \to \infty$. The functions $Y_k(x,\rho)$ satisfy the equality*

$$Y_k(x,\rho) = y_k(x,\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_0^x \Big(\sum_{j=1}^k y_j(x,\rho) y_j^*(t,\rho)\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n-2} q_m(t) Y_k^{(m)}(t,\rho)\Big)\,dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_x^\infty \Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n y_j(x,\rho) y_j^*(t,\rho)\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n-2} q_m(t) Y_k^{(m)}(t,\rho)\Big)\,dt.$$

*Moreover, one has the representation*

$$Y_k(x,\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(\rho) S_j(x,\lambda), \tag{20}$$

*where*

$$b_{kj}(\rho) = b^0_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{\mu_j}(1+O(\rho^{-1})), \quad |\rho| \to \infty,\ \rho \in \bar{S}_{k_0,\rho_0}. \tag{21}$$

The only part of the theorem that needs proof is the asymptotic formula (21). Let $\rho$ be fixed, $x \leq |\rho|^{-1}$. Then (13) and (20) become

$$\left.\begin{aligned} U^0_{k0}(x,\rho) &= \sum_{j=1}^n b^0_{kj}(\rho x)^{\mu_j-\mu_1} \hat{C}_j(x,\lambda), \\ U_{k0}(x,\rho) &= \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(\rho)(\rho)^{-\mu_1} x^{\mu_j-\mu_1} \hat{S}_j(x,\lambda), \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\hat{C}_j(x,\lambda) = x^{-\mu_j} C_j(x,\lambda),\ \hat{S}_j(x,\lambda) = x^{-\mu_j} S_j(x,\lambda), \quad \hat{S}_j(0,\lambda) = \hat{C}_j(0,\lambda) = c_{j0} \neq 0.$$

It follows from (22) that

$$U_{k0}(x,\rho) - U^0_{k0}(x,\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n \Big(b_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1} - b^0_{kj}\rho^{\mu_j-\mu_1}\Big) x^{\mu_j-\mu_1} \hat{S}_j(x,\lambda)$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^n b^0_{kj}(\rho x)^{\mu_j-\mu_1}(\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda) - \hat{C}_j(x,\lambda)). \tag{23}$$

Denote

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k1}(x,\rho) &= U_{k0}(x,\rho) - U^0_{k0}(x,\rho), \\ \mathcal{F}_{k,s+1}(x,\rho) &= \Big(\mathcal{F}_{ks}(x,\rho) - \mathcal{F}_{ks}(0,\rho)\hat{S}_s(x,\lambda)c_{s0}^{-1}\Big) x^{\mu_s-\mu_{s+1}},\ s = \overline{1,n-1}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{24}$$

**Lemma 4.** *The following relations hold*

$$(b_{ks}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{ks}\rho^{\mu_s-\mu_1})c_{s0}=\mathcal{F}_{ks}(x,\rho),\quad s=\overline{1,n}, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ks}(x,\rho)=((U_{k0}(x,\rho)-U^0_{k0}(x,\rho))-\sum_{j=1}^{s-1}(b_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{kj}\rho^{\mu_j-\mu_1})x^{\mu_j-\mu_1}\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda))x^{\mu_1-\mu_s}, \tag{26}$$

$$s=\overline{1,n}.$$

*Proof.* When $s=1$ equality (25) follows from (23) for $x=0$, while (26) is obviously true. Suppose now that (25) and (26) have been proved for $s=1,\dots,N-1$. Then

$$\Big((U_{k0}(x,\rho)-U^0_{k0}(x,\rho))-\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(b_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{kj}\rho^{\mu_j-\mu_1})x^{\mu_j-\mu_1}\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda))\Big)x^{\mu_1-\mu_N}$$

$$=\Big((U_{k0}(x,\rho)-U^0_{k0}(x,\rho))-\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}(b_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{kj}\rho^{\mu_j-\mu_1})x^{\mu_j-\mu_1}\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda))\Big)x^{\mu_1-\mu_{N-1}}x^{\mu_{N-1}-\mu_N}$$

$$-(b_{k,N-1}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{k,N-1}\rho^{\mu_{N-1}-\mu_1})\hat{S}_{N-1}(x,\lambda)x^{\mu_{N-1}-\mu_N}=\mathcal{F}_{kN}(x,\rho),$$

which gives (26) for $s=N$. We now write (23) as

$$\mathcal{F}_{kN}(x,\rho)=\sum_{j=N}^{n}(b_{kj}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{kj}\rho^{\mu_j-\mu_1})x^{\mu_j-\mu_N}\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda)$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(b^0_{kj}(\rho x)^{\mu_j-\mu_1}(\hat{S}_j(x,\lambda)-\hat{C}_j(x,\lambda))x^{\mu_1-\mu_N}.$$

Hence, using (17), we infer $\mathcal{F}_{kN}(0,\rho)=(b_{kN}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_1}-b^0_{kN}\rho^{\mu_N-\mu_1})c_{N0}$, which gives (25) for $s=N$. Lemma 4 is proved.

Now we write (18) for $\nu=0$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{k1}(x,\rho)=\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\Big(-\int_0^x(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U^{0,*}_j(t,\rho))(\rho t)^{n-1-\mu_n}V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$+\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U^{0,*}_j(t,\rho))F^*_j(\rho t)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt\Big), \tag{27}$$

where

$$V_k(t,\rho)=\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}q_m(t)\rho^mF_{km}(\rho t)U_{km}(t,\rho).$$

Since for $t\le x\le|\rho|^{-1}$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U^{0,*}_j(t,\rho)=\rho^{\mu_n-\mu_1}x^{-\mu_1}t^{1-n+\mu_n}g(x,t,\lambda),$$

it follows by way of (15) that

$$\Big|\sum_{j=1}^{n}U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U^{0,*}_j(t,\rho)\Big|\le M_3|(\rho x)^{\mu_n-\mu_1}|,\quad 0\le t\le x\le|\rho|^{-1}. \tag{28}$$

**Lemma 5.** *The following relations hold*

$$\mathcal{F}_{ks}(0,\rho)=\rho^{\mu_s-\mu_1-n+1}c_{s0}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n b^0_{js}F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt, \tag{29}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ks}(x,\rho)=\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\Big(-x^{\mu_1-\mu_s}\int_0^x\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)(\rho t)^{n-1-\mu_n}V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$-\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1}x^{\mu_\ell-\mu_s}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n b^0_{j\ell}\rho^{\mu_\ell-\mu_1}(\hat S_\ell(x,\lambda)-\hat C_\ell(x,\lambda))F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$+\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n\Big(\sum_{\xi=s}^n b^0_{j\xi}\rho^{\mu_\xi-\mu_1}\hat C_\xi(x,\lambda)\Big)F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt\Big),\quad x\le|\rho|^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

*Proof.* For $s=1$, (29) and (30) follow from (27), in view of (22). Suppose now that (29) and (30) have been proved for $s=1,\dots,N$. Then, using (24), we calculate

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,N+1}(x,\rho)=(\mathcal{F}_{kN}(x,\rho)-\mathcal{F}_{kN}(0,\rho)\hat S_N(x,\lambda)c_{N0}^{-1})x^{\mu_N-\mu_{N+1}}$$

$$=\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\Big(-x^{\mu_1-\mu_{N+1}}\int_0^x\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n(U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)(\rho t)^{n-1-\mu_n}V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$-\sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1}x^{\mu_\ell-\mu_{N+1}}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n b^0_{j\ell}\rho^{\mu_\ell-\mu_1}(\hat S_\ell(x,\lambda)-\hat C_\ell(x,\lambda))F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$+x^{\mu_N-\mu_{N+1}}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n\Big(\sum_{\xi=N}^n b^0_{j\xi}\rho^{\mu_\xi-\mu_1}x^{\mu_\xi-\mu_N}\hat C_\xi(x,\lambda)-b^0_{jN}\rho^{\mu_N-\mu_{N+1}}\hat C_N(x,\lambda)$$

$$-b^0_{jN}\rho^{\mu_N-\mu_{N+1}}(\hat S_N(x,\lambda)-\hat C_N(x,\lambda))\Big)F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho))V_k(t,\rho)\,dt\Big)$$

$$=\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\Big(-x^{\mu_1-\mu_{N+1}}\int_0^x\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n U^0_{j0}(x,\rho)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)(\rho t)^{n-1-\mu_n}V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$-\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}x^{\mu_\ell-\mu_{N+1}}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n b^0_{j\ell}\rho^{\mu_\ell-\mu_1}(\hat S_\ell(x,\lambda)-\hat C_\ell(x,\lambda))F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt$$

$$+\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n\Big(\sum_{\xi=N+1}^n b^0_{j\xi}\rho^{\mu_\xi-\mu_1}x^{\mu_s-\mu_{N+1}}\hat C_\xi(x,\lambda)\Big)F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt\Big),$$

i.e. (30) is valid for $s=N+1$. We now let $x\to 0$ in (30) for $s=N+1$ , using (28), to obtain (29) for $s=N+1$. This proves Lemma 3.

It follows from (25) and (29) that

$$b_{ks}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_s}-b^0_{ks}=\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty\Big(\sum_{j=k+1}^n b^0_{js}F_j^*(\rho t)U_j^{0,*}(t,\rho)\Big)V_k(t,\rho)\,dt. \tag{31}$$

Using (31), (14), (19) and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$b_{ks}(\rho)\rho^{-\mu_s}-b^0_{ks}=O(J(\rho))=O(\rho^{-1}),\quad |\rho|\to\infty,\ \rho\in\bar S_{k_0},$$

i.e. (21) is valid. Theorem 2 is proved.

**3.** Using constructed fundamental systems of solutions on each edge we can study spectral properties of systems on graphs and solve the inverse spectral problem. Let $\{\xi_{kj}\}_{k=\overline{1,n_j}}$ be the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$\delta_j(\xi)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{n_j}\nu_{\mu j}\prod_{k=0}^{\mu-1}(\xi-k),\quad \nu_{n_j,j}:=1,\ \nu_{n_j-1,j}:=0.$$

For definiteness, we assume that $\xi_{kj}-\xi_{mj}\neq sn_j, s\in\mathbf{Z},\ \ Re\,\xi_{1j}<\ldots<Re\,\xi_{n_j,j},\ \ \xi_{kj}\neq\overline{0,n_j-3}$ (other cases require minor modifications). We set $\theta_j:=n_j-1-Re\,(\xi_{n_j,j}-\xi_{1j})$, and assume that the functions $q_{\mu j}^{(\nu)}(x_j),\ \nu=\overline{0,\mu-1}$, are absolutely continuous, and $q_{\mu j}^{(\mu)}(x_j)x_j^{\theta_j}\in L(0,l_j)$.

Fix $j=\overline{1,p}$. Let the numbers $c_{kj0},\ k=\overline{1,n_j}$, be such that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n_j}c_{kj0}=\Big(\det[\xi_{kj}^{\nu-1}]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n_j}}\Big)^{-1}.$$

According to results of the previous section one can construct the fundamental systems of solutions $\{S_{kj}(x_j,\lambda)\}_{k=\overline{1,n_j}}$ of Eq. (1) on the edge $e_j$ such that the functions $S_{kj}^{(\nu)}(x_j,\lambda),\ \nu=\overline{0,n_j-1}$, are entire in $\lambda$, and for each fixed $\lambda$, and $x_j\to 0$, $S_{kj}(x_j,\lambda)\sim c_{kj0}x_j^{\xi_{kj}}$ Consider the linear forms

$$U_{j\nu}(y_j)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu}\gamma_{j\nu\mu}y_j^{(\mu)}(l_j),\ j=\overline{1,p},\ \nu=\overline{0,n_j-1},$$

where $\gamma_{j\nu\mu}$ are complex numbers, $\gamma_{j\nu}:=\gamma_{j\nu\nu}\neq 0$. Denote $\langle n\rangle:=(|n|+n)/2$, i.e. $\langle n\rangle=n$ for $n\geq 0$, and $\langle n\rangle=0$ for $n\leq 0$. Fix $s=\overline{1,p},\ k=\overline{1,n_s-1}$. Let $\Psi_{sk}=\{\psi_{skj}\}_{j=\overline{1,p}}$ be solutions of Eq. (1) on the graph $T$ under the boundary conditions

$$\psi_{sks}(x_s,\lambda)\sim c_{ks0}x_s^{\xi_{ks}},\quad x_s\to 0, \tag{32}$$

$$\psi_{skj}(x_j,\lambda)=O(x_j^{\xi_{\langle n_j-k-1\rangle+2,j}}),\quad x_j\to 0,\quad j=\overline{1,p},\ j\neq s, \tag{33}$$

and the matching conditions at the vertex $v_0$ :

$$U_{1\nu}(\psi_{sk1})=U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}),\ \ j=\overline{2,p},\ \nu=\overline{0,k-1},\ n_j>\nu+1, \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{j=1,\,n_j>\nu}^{p}U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj})=0,\quad \nu=\overline{k,n_s-1}. \tag{35}$$

Define additionally $\psi_{sns}(x_s,\lambda):=S_{ns}(x_s,\lambda)$. Using the solutions $\{S_{\mu j}(x_j,\lambda)\}$ , one can write

$$\psi_{skj}(x_j,\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{n_j}M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)S_{\mu j}(x_j,\lambda),\quad j=\overline{1,p},\quad k=\overline{1,n_s-1}, \tag{36}$$

where the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ do not depend on $x_j$. It follows from (36) and the boundary condition (32) for the Weyl-type solutions that

$$\psi_{sks}(x_s,\lambda)=S_{ks}(x_s,\lambda)+\sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n_s}M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)S_{\mu s}(x_s,\lambda),\quad M_{sk\mu}(\lambda):=M_{sks\mu}(\lambda). \tag{37}$$

Denote

$$M_s(\lambda)=[M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)]_{k,\mu=\overline{1,n_s}},\quad M_{sk\mu}(\lambda):=\delta_{k\mu}\quad\text{for}\quad k\geq\nu.$$

The matrix $M_s(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl-type matrix with respect to the boundary vertex $v_s$ . The inverse problem is formulated as follows. Fix $w=\overline{2,p}$.

**Inverse problem 1.** Given $\{M_s(\lambda)\}$, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus w$, construct $q$ on $T$.

Fix $s=\overline{1,p}$, $k=\overline{1,n_s-1}$. Substituting (36) into boundary and matching conditions (32)-(35), we obtain a linear algebraic system with respect to $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Solving this system one gets $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)=\Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)/\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$, where the functions $\Delta_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{sk}(\lambda)$ are entire in $\lambda$. In particular,

$$M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)=\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda)/\Delta_{sk}(\lambda),\quad k\le\mu,$$

where $\Delta_{sk\mu}(\lambda):=\Delta_{sks\mu}(\lambda)$.

**4.** In this section we obtain a constructive procedure for the solution of Inverse problem 1 and prove its uniqueness. First we consider auxiliary inverse problems of recovering the differential operator on each each fixed edge. Fix $s=\overline{1,p}$, and consider the following inverse problem on the edge $e_s$.

**IP(s).** Given the matrix $M_s$, construct the potential $q_s$ on the edge $e_s$.

**Theorem 3.** *Fix $s=\overline{1,p}$. The specification of the Weyl-type matrix $M_s$ uniquely determines the potential $q_s$ on the edge $e_s$.*

We omit the proof since it is similar to that in [1, Ch.2]. Moreover, using the method of spectral mappings, one can get a constructive procedure for the solution of the inverse problem $IP(s)$. It can be obtained by the same arguments as for $n$-th order differential operators on a finite interval (see [1, Ch.2] for details).

Fix $j=\overline{1,p}$. Let $\varphi_{jk}(x_j,\lambda)$, $k=\overline{1,n_j}$, be solutions of equation (1) on the edge $e_j$ under the conditions

$$\varphi_{kj}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)=\delta_{k\nu},\ \nu=\overline{1,k},\qquad \varphi_{kj}(x_j,\lambda)=O(x_j^{\xi_{n_j-k+1,j}}),\ x_j\to 0.$$

We introduce the matrix $m_j(\lambda)=[m_{jk\nu}(\lambda)]_{k,\nu=\overline{1,n_j}}$, where $m_{jk\nu}(\lambda):=\varphi_{jk}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)$. The matrix $m_j(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl-type matrix with respect to the internal vertex $v_0$ and the edge $e_j$.

**IP[j].** Given the matrix $m_j$, construct $q_j$ on the edge $e_j$.

This inverse problem is the classical one, since it is the inverse problem of recovering a higher-order differential equation on a finite interval from its Weyl-type matrix. This inverse problem has been solved in [1], where the uniqueness theorem for this inverse problem is proved. Moreover, in [1] an algorithm for the solution of the inverse problem $IP[j]$ is given, and necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of this inverse problem are provided.

Fix $j=\overline{1,p}$. Then for each fixed $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus j$,

$$m_{j1\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\psi_{s1j}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)}{\psi_{s1j}(l_j,\lambda)},\quad \nu=\overline{2,n_j},\tag{38}$$

$$m_{jk\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\det[\psi_{s\mu j}(l_j,\lambda),\ldots,\psi_{s\mu j}^{(k-2)}(l_j,\lambda),\psi_{s\mu j}^{(\nu-1)}(l_j,\lambda)]_{\mu=\overline{1,k}}}{\det[\psi_{s\mu j}^{(\xi-1)}(l_j,\lambda)]_{\xi,\mu=\overline{1,k}}},\ 2\le k<\nu\le n_j.\tag{39}$$

Now we are going to obtain a constructive procedure for the solution of Inverse problem 1. For this purpose it is convenient to divide differential equations into $m$ groups with equal orders. More precisely, let $\omega_1>\omega_2>\ldots>\omega_m>\omega_{m+1}=1$, $n_{p_{j-1}+1}=\ldots=n_{p_j}:=\omega_j$, $j=\overline{1,m}$, $0=p_0<p_1<\ldots<p_m=p$. Take $N$ such that $p_N=w$.

Suppose that we already found the potentials $q_s$, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$, on the edges $e_s$, $s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$. Then we calculate the functions $S_{kj}(x_j,\lambda)$, $j=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$; here $k=\overline{1,\omega_i}$ for $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$.

Fix $s=\overline{1,p_1}$ (if $N>1$), and $s=\overline{1,p_1-1}$ (if $N=1$). Our goal now is to construct the Weyl-type matrix $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$. According to (38)-(39), in order to construct $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$ we have to calculate the functions

$$\psi_{skp_N}^{(\nu)}(l_{p_N},\lambda),\quad k=\overline{1,\omega_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_N-1}.\tag{40}$$

We will find the functions (40) by the following steps.

1) Using (37) we construct the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{sks}(l_s,\lambda),\ k=\overline{1,\omega_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_1-1}, \tag{41}$$

by the formula

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{sks}(l_s,\lambda)=S^{(\nu)}_{ks}(l_s,\lambda)+\sum_{\mu=k+1}^{\omega_1}M_{sk\mu}(\lambda)S^{(\nu)}_{\mu s}(l_s,\lambda). \tag{42}$$

2) Consider a part of the matching conditions (34) on $\Psi_{sk}$ . More precisely, let $\xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{\omega_{\xi+1},\omega_\xi-1},\ l=\overline{\xi,m},\ j=\overline{1,p_l-1}$. Then, in particular, (34) yields

$$U_{p_l,\nu}(\psi_{skp_l})=U_{j\nu}(\psi_{skj}),\quad \nu=\overline{\omega_{l+1}-1,\min(k-1,\omega_l-2)}. \tag{43}$$

Using (43) we can calculate the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\ \xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{\omega_{\xi+1},\omega_\xi-1},\ l=\overline{\xi,m},\ j=\overline{1,p_l},\ \nu=\overline{\omega_{l+1}-1,\min(k-1,\omega_l-2)}. \tag{44}$$

3) It follows from (36) and the boundary conditions on $\Psi_{sk}$ that

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=\max(\omega_l-k+1)}^{\omega_l}M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)C^{(\nu)}_{\mu j}(l_j,\lambda), \tag{45}$$

$$k=\overline{1,\omega_1-1},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l}\setminus s,\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_l-1}.$$

We consider only a part of relations (45). More precisely, let $\xi=\overline{N,m},\ k=\overline{\omega_{\xi+1},\omega_\xi-1},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l},\ j\neq p_N,\ j\neq s,\ \nu=\overline{0,\min(k-1,\omega_l-2)}$. Then

$$\sum_{\mu=\max(\omega_l-k+1)}^{\omega_l}M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)C^{(\nu)}_{\mu j}(l_j,\lambda)=\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\quad \nu=\overline{0,\min(k-1,\omega_l-2)}. \tag{46}$$

For this choice of parameters, the right-hand side in (46) are known, since the functions (44) are known. Relations (46) form a linear algebraic system $\sigma_{skj}$ with respect to the coefficients $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Solving the system $\sigma_{skj}$ we find the functions $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$. Substituting them into (45), we calculate the functions

$$\psi^{(\nu)}_{skj}(l_j,\lambda),\quad k=\overline{1,\omega_N-1},\ l=\overline{1,m},\ j=\overline{p_{l-1}+1,p_l}\setminus p_N,\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_l-1}. \tag{47}$$

Note that for $j=s$ these functions were found earlier.

4) Let us now use the generalized Kirchhoff's conditions (35) for $\Psi_{sk}$ . Since the functions (47) are known, one can construct by (35) the functions (40) for $k=\overline{1,\omega_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{k,\omega_N-1}$. Thus, the functions (40) are known for $k=\overline{1,\omega_N-1},\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_N-1}$.

Since the functions (40) are known, we construct the Weyl-type matrix $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$ via (38)-(39) for $j=p_N$. Thus, we have obtained the solution of Inverse problem 1 and proved its uniqueness, i.e. the following assertion holds.

**Theorem 2.** *The specification of the Weyl-type matrices* $M_s(\lambda),\ \ s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$ *, uniquely determines the potential* $q$ *on* $T$*. The solution of Inverse problem 1 can be obtained by the following algorithm.*

**Algorithm 1.** *Given the Weyl-type matrices* $M_s(\lambda),\ \ s=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$ *.*

*1) Find* $q_s$ *,* $s=\overline{1,p}\backslash p_N$ *, by solving the inverse problem* $IP(s)$ *for each fixed* $s=\overline{1,p}\backslash p_N$ *.*

*2) Calculate* $C^{(\nu)}_{kj}(l_j,\lambda),\ j=\overline{1,p}\setminus p_N$ *; here* $k=\overline{1,\omega_i},\ \nu=\overline{0,\omega_i-1}$ *for* $j=\overline{p_{i-1}+1,p_i}$*.*

*3) Fix* $s=\overline{1,p_1}$ *(if* $N>1$ *), and* $s=\overline{1,p_1-1}$ *(if* $N=1$ *). All calculations below will be made for this fixed* $s$*. Construct the functions (41) via (42).*

*4) Calculate the functions (44) using (43).*
*5) Find the functions* $M_{skj\mu}(\lambda)$, *by solving the linear algebraic systems* $\sigma_{skj}$ .
*6) Construct the functions (40) using (35).*
*7) Calculate the Weyl-type matrix* $m_{p_N}(\lambda)$ *via (38)-(39) for* $j = p_N$ .
*8) Construct the potential* $q_{p_N}$ *by solving the inverse problem* $IP[j]$ *for* $j = p_N$ .

We note that inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on spatial networks were studied in [2-7], and for higher order operators in [8].

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by Grant 1.1436.2014K of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science and by Grant 13-01-00134 of Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

## REFERENCES

[1] V.A. Yurko. Method of Spectral Mappings in the Inverse Problem Theory, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 2002.

[2] Belishev M.I. Boundary spectral Inverse Problem on a class of graphs (trees) by the BC method. Inverse Problems 20 (2004), 647-672.

[3] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs. Inverse Problems, 21, no.3 (2005), 1075-1086.

[4] Brown B.M.; Weikard R. A Borg-Levinson theorem for trees. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 461 (2005), no.2062, 3231-3243.

[5] Yurko V.A. Inverse problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on bush-type graphs. Inverse Problems, 25, no.10 (2009), 105008, 14pp.

[6] Yurko V.A. An inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators on A-graphs. Applied Math. Letters, 23, no.8 (2010), 875-879.

[7] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for differential operators on arbitrary compact graphs. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Proplems, 18, no.3 (2010), 245-261.

[8] Yurko V.A. Inverse spectral problems for arbitrary order differential operators on noncompact trees. Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 20, no.1 (2012), 111-132.

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Yurko, Vjacheslav |
| Place of work: | Department of Mathematics, Saratov State University<br>Astrakhanskaya 83, Saratov 410012, Russia |
| E-mail: | yurkova@info.sgu.ru |